Pupil premium strategy statement - Chapel Street Primary School.

| 1. Summary information | on | | | | |
|------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------------------|
| School | Chapel S | treet Primary School | | | |
| Academic Year | 2016/17 | Total PP budget - estimate | £311,396 | Date of most recent PP Review | Dec 2016 |
| Total number of pupils | 715 | Number of pupils eligible for PP | 230 PP, 1 LAC & 11 Early Years | Date for next internal review of this strategy | March 2017 June 2017 |

| 2. Cu | rrent attainment | Pupil | s eligible | for Pup | il Premium | | Pupils not eligible for Pup | I Premium |
|---|---------------------------------------|-----------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Key | Stage 1 SAT's 2015-16 | PP Non | Reading 56 61 | Writing 59 63 | Mathematics 53 63 | Combined 50 53 | ReadingWritingOther7870National7070 | Mathematics 77 |
| Key | Stage 2 SAT's 2015-16 | PP Non | Reading 43 36 | Writing 39 41 | Mathematics 54 53 | Combined 24 30 | ReadingWritingOther6674National | Mathematics 70 |
| Phonics Year 1 test 2015-16 PP 76 Non 72 | | | | | | Phonics National 70 | | |
| Four | ndation Stage 2015-2016 | PP Non | GLD 54 48 | | | | GLD National 69.3 | |
| 3. Ba | rriers to future attainment (| for pup | oils eligib | le for Pl | P) | | | |
| In-scho skills) | bol barriers (issues to be add | dresse | d in schoo | ol, such a | as poor oral la | nguage | | |
| Α. | Poor oral language skills in Recept | tion lea | ding to lowe | r attainme | ent in Reading, W | /riting and Pho | cs In Key Stage 1 and Key Stage | 2. |
| В. | Pupils eligible for PP make less p | rogress | in Reading i | in Year 1 t | han 'other' pupils | 3. | | |
| С. | Attainment and progress in Writing | g in Key | Stage 2 for | those elig | ible for pupil pre | mium is lower | an those not eligible for PP. | |

| Ex | ternal barriers (issues which also require action outside s | chool, such as low attendance rates) |
|----|---|--|
| D. | Attendance and punctuality rates are low for those children eligible for | or Pupil Premium. This reduces their school hours and causes them to fall behind. |
| | esired outcomes (Desired outcomes and how they will e measured) | Success criteria |
| A. | Improved oral language skills for Reception class children eligible for PP. | Aspirational targets achieved. |
| В. | High rates of progress and attainment in Reading for Year 1 pupils who are eligible for PP. | Pupils eligible for PP make rapid progress in Reading in Year 1. |
| C. | High rates of progress and attainment in Reading, Writing Spelling Punctuation and Grammar, Phonics and Mathematics. | Pupils eligible for Pupil Premium will make rapid progress. |
| D. | Increased rates of Attendance and Punctuality of pupils who are eligible for Pupil Premium. | Reduce the number of persistent absences and 'lates' amongst those pupils eligible for PP. |

| Academic year: 2016/17 | | | | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|----------------------------|--|
| i. Quality of teac | hing for all | | | | | |
| Desired outcome | Chosen action / approach Continued staff training. Parental groups and workshops. | | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice? | How will you ensure it is implemented well? | AHT KS2 | When will you review implementation? Dec 2016 March 2017 June 2017 |
| Improved language skills of Reception children. | | | Strong evidence of studies in other schools show the impact of this. | Training for staff. Tracking of progress of the children | | |
| High rates of progress and attainment across school for pupils who are eligible for Pupil Premium. | Costs toward Teachers an Assistants. Develop Par | Ū | Evidence from other schools shows that Quality First Teaching will raise attainment. | Training for staff. Parent/Carer feedback | AHT KS2 | Dec 2016 March 2017 June 2017 |
| Increased rates of attendance and punctuality of pupils who are eligible. | | elop the whole school attendance and | Children with good attendance, who are on time for school, will achieve at school. | Weekly attendance and punctuality rates monitored. | Family work Team Leader | Dec 2016 March 2017 June 2017 |
| | <u>I</u> | | | Total | budgeted cost | £16,939 |

| Desired outcome | Chosen action / approach | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice? | How will you ensure it is implemented well? | Staff lead | When will you review implementation? |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| Improved language skills in Reception. | Teaching Assistant employed and trained. Parent/Carer workshops. | Strong evidence of studies in other schools show the impact of this. | Training for staff. Parent/ Carer feedback. | EYFS Lead Parent/ Carer Lead | Dec2016 March 2017 June 2017 |
| High rates of progress and attainment across school for pupils who are eligible for Pupil Premium. | Costs towards employing staff to lead interventions. | 2014-15 compared to 2015-16 data shows that the gap has closed in some areas of the curriculum across school. E.g. Phonics check Year 1 2016 NATIONAL 80.5% Chapel St PS PP-76% ALL-73% | Regular review and tracking of progress. | Assistant HT-PP lead | Dec 2016 March 2017 June 2017 |

| Desired outcome | Chosen action / approach | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice? | How will you ensure it is implemented well? | Staff lead | When will you review implementation? | |
|---|---|--|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| High rates of progress of pupils who are eligible for Pupil Premium.Improved range of experiences for pupils. | | Pupils have identified this as a motivator to learn in pupil surveys in other schools. Significant impact in other schools. | Pupil surveys | AHT KS2 | Dec 2016 March 2017 June 2017 | |
| Increased rates of attendance and punctuality of pupils. | School clubs and interventions before and after school. | Significant impact in other schools and in previous years. | Regular review of attendance and punctuality rates. Pupil surveys. | Family work lead. AHT KS2 | Dec 2016 March 2017 June 2017 | |
| | | | Total bu | dgeted cost | £62,254 | |

| Previous Academi | c Year | 2015/16 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|--------------|---|--|---------|--|--|--|
| i. Quality of teac | hing for all | | | | | | | | | | |
| Desired outcome | Chosen action / approach | Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate. | | | | | Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach) | Cost | | | |
| High rates of progress of pupils across school. | Staff training Employed teachers and teaching assistants Costs towards staff supporting eligible children. | In most area gap on the a 2015 KS 1 SATs Re L3(better that 21% PP ALL KS2 SATs Re L4+(better th 55 % PP ALL 2016 KS1 % PP Non KS2 % PP Non | ttainment w eading n expected p 27% NAT 31 eading nan expected | ith their peer progress) % d progress) | - | PP closed the Combined 50 53 Combined 24 30 | This approach will continue as it has contributed to closing the gap between those eligible for PP and those pupils who are not. | 168,263 | | | |
| Improved attainment across school | Staff training on feedback | Effective me | asure show | n to have im | pact nationa | lly. | This will continue and be consolidated during 2016/17. | 2,424 | | | |

| ii. Targeted support | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|---------|--|--|--|
| Desired outcome | Chosen action / approach | Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate. | Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach) | Cost | | | |
| High rates of progress for all children across school who are eligible for PP. | Staff training Employed teachers and teaching assistants Costs towards staff supporting eligible children. | See previous data sets. | This approach will be continued as it has had a marked effect on progress of those eligible for PP towards national outcomes. | 151,392 | | | |

| Desired outcome Chosen action / approach | | Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate. | Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach) | Cost | |
|---|---|---|---|-------|--|
| Increased rates of Attendance and Punctuality of pupils. | Breakfast club ICT morning club After school clubs | Attendance figures 2014/15 93.7% 2015/16 93.7% | To be consolidated and continued 2016/17 with more initiatives in the drive to raise rates of Attendance to targets. | 5,284 | |
| High rates of progress for all children across school who are eligible for PP. | School clubs and interventions before and after school as well as in school. School trips and visits. | Our pupils are well behaved, motivated, confident and have high aspirations evidenced by pupil voice. | We will continue with these very effective approaches | 4,783 | |